

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk


On January 4, 2021, Plaintiffs Total Safety U.S., Inc. and Sprint Safety, Inc. filed their objections. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the [magistrate judge’s] report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection [has been] made.” After conducting this de novo review, the Court may “accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge.” *Id.*; *see also* FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)(3).

The Court has carefully considered the Objections; the Memorandum and Recommendation; the briefing; and the record. The Court **ACCEPTS** Judge Edison's Memorandum and Recommendation and **ADOPTS** it as the opinion of the Court. It is therefore **ORDERED** that:

- (1) Judge Edison's Memorandum and Recommendation (Dkt. 147) is **APPROVED AND ADOPTED** in its entirety as the holding of the Court; and
- (2) Defendant Justin Massengale's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Breach of Contract Claim Based on the Unit Grant Agreement (Dkt. 115) is **GRANTED**.

It is so **ORDERED**.

SIGNED and ENTERED this 11th day of January, 2021.



GEORGE C. HANKS, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE